

### HOUSE BILL 187 OPPONENT TESTIMONY June 27, 2023

Chairman Roemer, Vice Chair Lorenz, Ranking Member Troy, and members of the committee, I am Franklin County Auditor Michael Stinziano, I thank you for the opportunity to testify today in opposition to H.B. 187 and note my opposition to the similar provisions in the Senate passed version of the state operating budget. Artificially lowering taxable property values in the current cycle does not obtain a similar amount of tax relief and would undermine the core basis of property taxation—that a property should be taxed based on its actual value.

Proponents are concerned about how a major increase in property values this year, whether due to a sexennial reappraisal or triennial update, will impact Ohioans. Franklin County is nearing the end of the full reappraisal process and is facing historic value increases. I am incredibly concerned about the increased tax burden on those who become house rich and cash poor, but this proposal will neither change how taxes are calculated nor provide relief for those who are burdened despite the significant changes in values that would come with this bill.

## The artificial changes in value will not result in equivalent tax savings and will pick winners and losers.

This legislation does not address the real issue: the property tax burden that shifts as values change to burden those who are house rich and cash poor. The H.B. 920 equalization process will still work on the artificially changed values such that voted levies collect the amounts they are authorized to collect. The major shift in how property is appraised will only moderately change taxation. The taxation change will also not be the same for all taxpayers-some will pay less, others will pay more, and some will see almost no change.

Our team analyzed what this proposal could mean for Franklin County in tax year 2023, payable in 2024. The submitted tentative abstract is at a 90% sales ratio-the bottom of the range that meets appraisal best practices and is accepted by the department of tax. County wide, for class one (residential plus agricultural) property, the value change would shift from 42% as submitted on our tentative abstract to 27% with use of the average.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All internally calculated numbers referred to in this testimony are appended to the end of this document. Franklin County currently has 150 taxing districts. The three referenced in this testimony are 020-City of Bexley, 010-City of Columbus (the county's largest taxing district), and 025-City of Gahanna-Gahanna Jefferson. They were chosen based on ability to represent a range of value changes for 2023 and our ability to calculate effective rates with the information currently available.



We calculated impact on values to three taxing districts-below, at, and above the countywide average. For these same districts we estimated the new effective rate for tax year 2023 under current law and this legislation which also allows us to provide examples of the tax changes from this bill. We then applied that projected rate to example houses all currently valued at \$200,000 and increases below, at, or above the average for their district. The changes in value are always larger than the changes in tax liability. Tax liability under the HB920 process is driven less by individual value change and more by how much a property changes compared to other property in the same taxing district.<sup>2</sup>

When values increase effective rates go down, artificially lower values in the current market will cause effective tax rates to stay higher. Under current law and under this proposal our three example districts will see a decrease in effective rates, but the bill would keep the effective rates an additional 4-6 mills higher. This means if the changes in this legislation would raise or lower a property's tax liability depends on if that property's value change is far enough above or below the average in their district or their county.

Under the nine examples in three taxing districts we looked at, the greatest tax savings under this bill is \$401.08 in reduced tax liability. The greatest cost is an additional \$154.16 in tax liability.

As calculated for taxing district 010, under this bill a home that increases the average amount for their district sees almost no change with or without H.B. 187 in their tax liability-\$52.88. A house that increases 50% more than the average is facing substantial tax liability increases regardless-27% vs 20%. A house that increases less than the average will see a decrease in tax liability regardless, but H.B. 187 would mean they pay more in taxes than they would under current law—a tax reduction of 9.24% under current law but only 6.73% under HB 187.

Across the board, there will consistently be a much larger change to valuation-one that separates auditor valuation from the actual fair market value. Such a change might be justified if it would in fact prevent new tax burdens, but it does not.

Effective Tax Rates Projected for 3 Franklin County Taxing Districts TY22, Current Law, HB 1873			
	020	025	010
TY 2022	68.87	82.34	58.26
TY 2023 Current Law	54.6	62.56	42.30
TY2023 HB 187	59.95	68.63	46.44

# Fair market value and uniformity as of a date certain is the basis for accurate and equitable taxation

At its core, Ohio's property tax system in our constitution and tradition requires that property be taxed based on "its true value in money." 4 This means that the value for taxation purposes is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As other witnesses have noted, when a jurisdiction has a school district on the 20-mill floor the taxes and value changes more closely follow each other. Franklin County does not have any jurisdiction on the 20-mill floor at this time, so this testimony does not reflect that dynamic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> These calculations were made by Franklin County Appraisal and Fiscal staff and are estimates based on TY22 value and taxes compared to the TY23 tentative abstract and the known mechanisms of equalization. They include all TY22 levies, but do not account for newly voted levies or any changes in the needed funds for debt service that will be provided by local governments before tax rates are finalized. Actual rates could be different based on levy changes and changes to final property values.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Oh. Constitution, Art. XII, Sec. 2.

determined as a date certain-the tax lien date of January 1st of the tax year. State law requires property be reassessed every six years with an update three years later to ensure that as the market changes the true value is still the base for taxation. The legitimacy of the property tax, value appeals to the Board of Revision, and local government funding decisions are all based on this standard.

The Department of Taxation and the Division of Tax Equalization provide oversight to County Auditors to ensure uniformity in valuation across the state. The Tax Commissioner must gather data to perform "sales-assessment ratio studies," which compare the sales prices and auditor's assessed value of properties to ensure property is being assessed at a uniform 35% of its value, as prescribed by R.C. § 5715.012. The amendment requires the Commissioner to use all three years of property sales data evenly instead of using that data to determine value as of the lien date. The amendment makes values less responsive to the actual market.

Generally, the oversight we have works well. There are times counties have conflict with the Department of Taxation on what sales should be used and how the ratios are calculated, but most are resolved through conversation and there is an existing practice for formal disputes. I support efforts to improve this process both administratively and through legislation, if necessary, without upending mass appraisal.

Recent sales are the best evidence of value per both mass appraisal principles and Ohio precedent. The current appraisal processes followed in Ohio track best practices set forth by the International Association of Assessing Officers. We should not alter these assessment standards to address a taxation issue. Our state's reliance on property taxes and a lack of relief for those harmed is at the root of the fear of property value increases expected across the state.

### Disruption of the 2023 Appraisal Cycle

Finally, the timing of this proposal jeopardizes accurate, equitable, and timely valuations and taxation. That disruption might be warranted only if it would be effective in providing targeted, meaningful relief to those burdened by property taxes, but it does not.

The language requires, if the bill passed today, the change to be implemented for tax year 2023 with new ratios completed by mid-September and final values due the first Monday in December. My office, along with 40 others, are mandated by state law to perform either a full reappraisal or a triennial update for Tax Year 2023. These values are necessary to calculate the tax rates in all counties with overlapping jurisdictions like school districts or cities. Franklin and other counties have already submitted tentative abstracts to the Tax Commissioner for approval. As the largest county in the state, my team has been preparing for this change for years and has conducted a significant public education campaign that is currently ongoing. It is not possible to replicate this work in a matter of months.

#### **Conclusion**

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this testimony as I join with the County Auditors Association of Ohio and individual colleagues in opposing H.B. 187 and the similar budget amendment. Prioritizing an average over the actual market of the lien date will force values higher during slow-downs and pervert the function and consequences of property value appeals.

The lack of housing, population growth, and overall intensity of our market mean we are facing a particularly challenging property value increase this year and very much appreciate the legislature's recognition of how property taxes can be a burden. This change would not provide relief and will further jeopardize the function and equity of our property tax system. I welcome an opportunity to work on an effective plan for tax burdens such that all Ohioans can choose where to build their lives and thrive.